

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
09/538,455	03/30/0)0 XU		Υ	1787-06001
023505 QM02/1105 CONLEY ROSE & TAYON, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267				EXAMINER	
			CHA	AMBERS,A	
			ART UN	NIT PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON T	(77253-326	57		375	53
				DATE MAIL	.ED: 11/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/538,455

Art Unit

Examiner

A. Michael Chambers

3753

Xu et al



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Octber 8, 2001 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-17, 20, 21, and 23-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideratio is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 1-17, 20, 21, and 23-27 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) ______ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement 8) Claims __ Application Papers 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. approved by disapproved. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ____ 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/538,455 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to a request for Continued Prosecution Application(CPA) filed October 9, 2001. Claims 18, 19 and 22 have been canceled. Claims 1-17, 20, 21 and 23-27 are pending No amendments to the pending claims subsequent to the "Response to the Final Action dated April 9, 2001" amendment (filed August 14, 2001) and the advisory action mailed September 4, 2001, has been received. Claim 1 has been amended to define that the "...tubing (at least a part of which being a pre-heat coil) act as a flow restrictor, the extent of said flow restriction sufficient to restrict sample flow to about 50-70 cc/min at 15 psig. No amendments to other pending claims were included in the amendment filed August 14, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation of "said flow restriction" lacks antecedent basis.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/538,455

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1-12, 20, 21, and 23--27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Higdon et al. Note the disclosure of a "...stream switching system..." for a chromatograph including a plurality of solenoid valves 98, a sheet heater (column 4, lines 57+), and an insulated hosing (Figure 3B, for example). Contrary to applicants previous remarks, the patent to Higdon et al clearly shows a common stream channel (single inlet/multiple outlet 72) valved by a particular solenoid 98. "At least part of the tubing being pre-heated..." by the "sheet heater" (column 4, lines 57+)(claims 1+). The solenoid actuated valves 98 clearly "valve" the "...input and output ports....between an open and closed position." (Claim 9).. The reduced 'tubing size" shown in Figure 3A (claim 18) acts as a restrictor. With regard to claims 19 and 20, note the plurality of imput and outport port. (Figure 3A). No patentable weight has been given to the recitation added to claim 1 by the amendment filed August 14, 2001, in the "restrictions" shown in Figure 1 of Higdon et al would be sufficient to restrict the sample flow to "...about 50-70 cc/min at 15 psig". With regard to claim 9 remarks, claim 9 includes recitation that an "outside impulse (is required) to place said actuable ports in the open position". This recitation

Application/Control Number: 09/538,455 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

appears to be contrary to "...this forces the pistons into an upward position, resulting in closed ports" remarks in the amendment and the recitation of claim 9 is clearly readable on the solenoid valve 98 operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/538,455

Art Unit: 3753

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 9. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higdon et al in view of Upchurch. Higdon et al disclose the claimed invention except for the recitation of a "filter" as taught by Upchurch (Figure 1). The plurality of check valves (ball valves) act as "pressure regulators. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the chromatograph system of Higdon et al to include a "cartridge filter", as taught by Upchurch in order to provide more "pure" fluid to be tested and/or processed. Further in particular note the disclosure of a filter for the "fluid streams" (column 6, lines 58+) of Higdon et al. Applicant's remarks, drawn to filter disposition, were considered, however, not deemed persuasive. In column 6, lines 58+ both outlet port filters and filters disposed in inlets are disclosed.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/538,455

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication communications from the Examiner should be directed to a. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-1016 (FAX (703) 308-7765).

amc November 2, 2001

> A. MICHAEL CHAMBERS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART LIMIT 3753

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.